arXiv:1703.03791v2 [math.GR] 21 Mar 2017

# RESIDUALLY FINITE NON-EXACT GROUPS

DAMIAN OSAJDA

Abstract. We construct the first examples of residually finite non-exact groups.

## 1. Introduction

A finitely generated group is *non-exact* if its reduced $C^*$–algebra is non-exact. Equivalently, it has no Guoliang Yu's property A (see e.g. [Roe03, Chapter 11.5]). Most classical groups are *exact*, that is, are not non-exact. Basically, at the moment there are only two constructions of non-exact groups: the construction of the so-called *Gromov monsters* [Gro03], and author's construction of groups containing isometrically expanders [Osa14]. The isometric embedding of an expanding family of graphs performed in the latter construction is possible thanks to using a graphical small cancellation. That particular construction is crucial for results in the current paper.

**Main Theorem.** *There exist finitely generated residually finite non-exact groups defined by infinite graphical small cancellation presentations.*

This answers one of few questions from the Open Problems chapter of the Brown-Ozawa book [BO08, Problem 10.4.6]. Some motivations for the question can be found there. Note that the groups we construct are also the first examples of sofic non-exact groups. Our interest in the problem is twofold: First, we plan to use residually finite non-exact groups constructed here for producing other, essentially new examples of non-exact groups; Second, we believe that our examples might be useful for constructing and studying metric spaces with interesting new coarse geometric features. More precisely, let $G$ be a finitely generated infinite residually finite group, and let $(N_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of its finite index normal subgroups with $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i = \{1\}$. The *box space* of $G$ corresponding to $(N_i)$ is the coarse disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G/N_i$, with each $G/N_i$ endowed with the word metric coming from a given finite generating set for $G$. Properties of the group $G$ are often related to coarse geometric properties of its box space. For example, a group is amenable iff its box space has property A [Roe03, Proposition 11.39]. Box spaces provide a powerful method for producing metric spaces

*Date*: March 22, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F69, 20F06, 46B85.

*Key words and phrases.* Group exactness, residual finiteness, graphical small cancellation.

with interesting coarse geometric features (see e.g. [Roe03, Chapter 11.3]). The groups constructed in the current article open a way to studying box spaces of non-exact groups and make the following questions meaningful.

**Questions.** What are coarse geometric properties of box spaces of non-exact groups? Can non-exactness of a group be characterized by coarse geometric properties of its box space?[1]

The idea of the construction of groups as in the Main Theorem is as follows. The group is defined by an infinite graphical small cancellation presentation. It is a limit of a direct sequence of groups $G_i$ with surjective bonding maps – each $G_i$ has a graphical small cancellation presentation being a finite chunk of the infinite presentation. Such finite chunks are constructed inductively, using results of [Osa14], so that they satisfy the following conditions. Each group $G_i$ is hyperbolic and acts geometrically on a CAT(0) cubical complex, hence it is residually finite.[2] For every $i$, there exists a map $\varphi_i\colon G_i \to F_i$ to a finite group such that no nontrivial element of the $i$–ball around identity is mapped to 1. Every $\varphi_i$ factors through the quotient maps $G_i \twoheadrightarrow G_j$ so that it induces a map of the limit group $G$ to a finite group injective on a large ball. The residual finiteness of $G$ follows. Finally, $G$ is non-exact since its Cayley graph contains a sequence of graphs (relators) without property A.

In Section 2 we present preliminaries on graphical small cancellation presentations and we recall some results from [Osa14]. In Section 3 we present the inductive construction of the infinite graphical small cancellation presentation proving the Main Theorem.

**Acknowledgments.** I thank Ana Khukhro and Kang Li for suggesting the question. I am grateful to them, and to Thibault Pillon for useful remarks. I thank Damian Sawicki for pointing out mistakes in the earlier version of the manuscript. The author was partially supported by (Polish) Narodowe Centrum Nauki, grant no. UMO-2015/18/M/ST1/00050. The paper was written while visiting McGill University. The author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of McGill University for its hospitality during that stay.

## 2. Preliminaries

We follow closely (up to the notation) [Osa14].

[1] After circulating the first version of the article I was informed that Thibault Pillon introduced a notion of "fibred property A", and proved that a finitely generated residually finite group is exact iff its box space has this property (unpublished).

[2] Note that Pride [Pri89] constructed infinitely presented classical small cancellation groups that are not residually finite. They are limits of hyperbolic CAT(0) cubical (hence residually finite) groups.

2.1. **Graphs.** All graphs considered in this paper are *simplicial*, that is, they are undirected, have no loops nor multiple edges. In particular, we will consider Cayley graphs of groups, denoted $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ – the Cayley graph of $G$ with respect to the generating set $S$. For a set $S$, an *$S$–labelling* of a graph $\Theta$ is the assignment of elements of $S\cup S^{-1}$ ($S^{-1}$ being the set of formal inverses of elements of $S$) to directed edges (pairs of vertices) of $\Theta$, satisfying the following condition: If $s$ is assigned to $(v,w)$ then $s^{-1}$ is assigned to $(w,v)$. All labellings considered in this paper are *reduced*: If $s$ is assigned to $(v,w)$, and $s'$ is assigned to $(v,w')$ then $s=s'$ iff $w=w'$. For a covering of graphs $p\colon \widehat{\Theta}\to\Theta$, having a labelling $(\Theta,l)$ we will always consider the *induced* labelling $(\widehat{\Theta},\widehat{l})$: the label of an edge $e$ in $\widehat{\Theta}$ is the same as the label of $p(e)$. Speaking about the metric on a connected graph $\Theta$ we mean the metric space $(\Theta^{(0)},d)$, where $\Theta^{(0)}$ is the set of vertices of $\Theta$ and $d$ is the path metric. The *ball* of radius $i$ around $v$ in $\Theta$ is $B_i(v,\Theta):=\{w\in\Theta^{(0)}\,|\,d(w,v)\leqslant i\}$. In particular, the metric on $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ coincides with the word metric on $G$ given by $S$.

2.2. **Graphical small cancellation.** A *graphical presentation* is the following data: $\mathcal{P}=\langle S\mid(\Theta,l)\rangle$, where $S$ is a finite set – the *generating set*, and $(\Theta,l)$ is a graph $\Theta$ with an $S$–labelling $l$. We assume that $\Theta$ is a disjoint (possibly infinite) union of finite connected graphs $(\Theta_i)_{i\in I}$, and the labelling $l$ restricted to $\Theta_i$ is denoted by $l_i$. We write $(\Theta,l)=(\Theta_i,l_i)_{i\in I}$. A graphical presentation $\mathcal{P}$ defines a group $G:=F(S)/R$, where $R$ is the normal closure in $F(S)$ of the subgroup generated by words in $S\cup S^{-1}$ read along (directed) loops in $\Theta$.

A *piece* is a labelled path occurring in two distinct connected components $\Theta_i$ and $\Theta_j$, or occurring in a single $\Theta_i$ in two places not differing by an automorphism of $(\Theta_i,l_i)$. Observe that if $(\widehat{\Theta}_i,\widehat{l}_i)\to(\Theta_i,l_i)$ is a normal covering then two lifts of a path in $\Theta_i$ differ by a covering automorphism. In particular, if the covering corresponds to a characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(\Theta_i)$ then a lift of a non-piece is a non-piece.

For $\lambda\in(0,1/6]$, the labelling $(\Theta,l)$ or the presentation $\mathcal{P}$ are called *$C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation* if length of every piece appearing in $\Theta_i$ is strictly less than $\lambda\mathrm{girth}(\Theta_i)$, where $\mathrm{girth}(\Theta_i)$ is the length of a shortest simple cycle in $\Theta_i$. Such presentations define infinite groups. The introduction of graphical small cancellation is attributed to Gromov [Gro03]. For more details see e.g. [Wis11, Osa14]. We will use mostly results proven already in [Osa14], so we list only the most important features of groups defined by graphical small cancellation presentations.

First, observe that if $(\Theta,l)$ is a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling, and $\widehat{\Theta}_i\to\Theta_i$ is a covering corresponding to a characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(\Theta_i)$, for each $i$, then the induced labelling $(\widehat{\Theta},\widehat{l})$ is also $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation. The following result was first stated by Gromov.

**Lemma 2.1** ([Gro03])**.** *Let $G$ be the group defined by a graphical $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation presentation $\mathcal{P}$, for $\lambda \in (0, 1/6]$. Then, for every $i$, there is an isometric embedding $\Theta_i \to \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$.*

The isometric embedding above is just an embedding of $S$–labelled graphs.

2.3. **Walls in graphs.** A *wall* in a connected graph is a collection of edges such that removing all interiors of these edges decomposes the graph in exactly two connected components. There are many ways for defining walls in finite graphs $\Theta_i$. We would like however that such walls "extend" to walls in $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$. In [Osa14] the author defined a *proper lacunary walling* – a system of walls in each relator $\Theta_i$ that induces walls in $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ having some additional properties. The definition is quite technical and we do not need here all the details. Therefore, we refer the reader to [Osa14, Sections 4,5, and 6], presenting below the main features needed further.

The main reason for having walls in a Cayley graph is to provide a "nice" action of the group on a CAT(0) cubical complex. In the current article we do not use such an action of the group defined by the infinite presentation $\mathcal{P}$ (as done in [Osa14]) but of its finite chunks – groups defined by finite graphical presentations $\langle S \mid (\Theta_1, l_1), \dots, (\Theta_i, l_i)\rangle$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $(\Theta_1, l_1), \dots, (\Theta_i, l_i)$ be a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling with a proper lacunary walling. Then the group $G = \langle S \mid (\Theta_1, l_1), \dots, (\Theta_i, l_i)\rangle$ acts geometrically on a CAT(0) cubical complex.*

*Proof.* By [Osa14, Theorem 5.6] the group $G$ acts properly on the space with walls being the Cayley graph of the graphical presentation. Since the presentation is finite, the action is also cocompact. □

Not every labelling $(\Theta, l)$ admits a proper lacunary walling. Nevertheless, for every $\lambda \in (0, 1/24]$, and for every $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling $(\Theta, l)$ there exists a covering $(\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{l})$ with a proper lacunary walling; see [Osa14, Section 6]. Such a covering is obtained by taking, for each $i$, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–homology cover $\widehat{\Theta}_i$ of $\Theta_i$. A preimage in $\widehat{\Theta}_i$ of an edge in $\Theta_i$ defines a wall. By what was said above, $(\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{l})$ is a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling as well. Iterating this procedure we may obtain $\widehat{\Theta}_i$ of arbitrarily large girth. The following result is an extract of results from [Osa14, Section 6] relevant for our construction.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $\lambda \in (0, 1/24]$, and let $(\Theta_1, l_1), \dots, (\Theta_i, l_i), (\Theta_{i+1}, l_{i+1}), \dots$ be a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling. Suppose that $(\Theta_1, l_1), \dots, (\Theta_i, l_i)$ admits a proper lacunary walling $\mathcal{W}$. Then, there exists a finite normal cover $\widehat{\Theta}_{i+1}$ of $\Theta_{i+1}$ such that:*

1. *For the labelling $\widehat{l}_{i+1}$ of $\widehat{\Theta}_{i+1}$ induced by $l_{i+1}$, the labelling $(\Theta_1, l_1), \dots, (\Theta_i, l_i), (\widehat{\Theta}_{i+1}, \widehat{l}_{i+1}), \dots$ is $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation;*
2. *$(\Theta_1, l_1), \dots, (\Theta_i, l_i), (\widehat{\Theta}_{i+1}, \widehat{l}_{i+1})$ admits a proper lacunary walling $\mathcal{W}'$ extending $\mathcal{W}$.*

## 3. The construction


Fix $\lambda \in (0, 1/24]$ and a natural number $D \geqslant 3$. Let $(\Theta, l) = (\Theta_i, l_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $D$–regular graphs with a labelling $l$ satisfying the following stronger version of $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation: every path in $\Theta_i$ of length greater or equal to $\lambda \mathrm{girth}(\Theta_i)$ has labelling different from any other path. Such sequences are constructed in [Osa14].

We will construct a sequence $(\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{l}) = (\widehat{\Theta}_i, \widehat{l}_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of normal covers of $(\Theta_i, l_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with the induced labelling $\widehat{l}$. By $G_i$ we will denote the finitely presented group given by the graphical presentation $G_i = \langle S \mid \widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{\Theta}_2, \dots, \widehat{\Theta}_i \rangle$. The associated quotient maps will be $q_i^j \colon G_i \twoheadrightarrow G_j$, with $q_i^{i+1}$ denoted $q_i$. At the same time we will construct maps to finite groups $\varphi_i^j \colon G_i \to F_j$, for $i \geqslant j$ with $\varphi_i^i$ denoted $\varphi_i$. We will denote $G = \varinjlim(G_i, q_i^j)$, with $q_i^{\infty} \colon G_i \to G$, and $\varphi_{\infty}^i \colon G \to F_i$ being the induced maps.

We require that the labelled graphs $(\widehat{\Theta}_i, \widehat{l}_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, and the maps $\varphi_i^j \colon G_i \to F_j$ satisfy the following conditions:

- $(A)$ $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), (\widehat{\Theta}_2, \widehat{l}_2), \dots$ is a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling;
- $(B)$ $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), (\widehat{\Theta}_2, \widehat{l}_2), \dots$ admits a proper lacunary walling;
- $(C)$ $\varphi_j(g) \neq 1$, for every $j$ and every $g \in B_j(1, \mathrm{Cay}(G_j, S)) \setminus \{1\}$;
- $(D)$ $\varphi_l^j \circ q_k^l = \varphi_k^j$, for all $j \leqslant k \leqslant l$.

In particular, the following diagram is commutative.




We construct the graphs $\widehat{\Theta}_i$, the finite groups $F_i$, and the maps $\varphi_i^j$ $(j \leqslant i)$ inductively, with respect to $i$.

3.1. **Induction basis.** We apply Lemma 2.3 for $i = 0$, that is, we find an iterated $\mathbb{Z}_2$–homology cover $\widehat{\Theta}_1$ of $\Theta_1$ of appropriately high multiplicity (such a cover corresponds to a characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(\Theta_1)$). Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- $(A_1)$ $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), (\Theta_2, l_2), (\Theta_3, l_3), \dots$ is a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling;
- $(B_1)$ $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1)$ admits a proper lacunary walling $\mathcal{W}^1$.

Then $G_1 := \langle S \mid \widehat{\Theta}_1 \rangle$ is hyperbolic and, by Lemma 2.2, acts geometrically on a CAT(0) cubical complex. Therefore, by results of Wise [Wis11] and Agol [Ago13] it is residually finite. Let $\varphi_1 \colon G_1 \to F_1$ be a map into a finite group $F_1$ such that:

($C_1$) $\varphi_1(g) \neq 1$ for all $g \in B_1(1, \mathrm{Cay}(G_1, S)) \setminus \{1\}$.

3.2. **Inductive step.** Assume that the graphs $\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{\Theta}_2, \dots, \widehat{\Theta}_i$, the finite groups $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_i$, and the maps $\varphi^k_j \colon G_j \to F_k$, for $k \leqslant j \leqslant i$ with the following properties have been constructed.

- ($A_i$) $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), \dots, (\widehat{\Theta}_i, \widehat{l}_i), (\Theta_{i+1}, l_{i+1}), (\Theta_{i+2}, l_{i+2}), \dots$ is a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling;
- ($B_i$) $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), \dots, (\widehat{\Theta}_i, \widehat{l}_i)$ admits a proper lacunary walling $\mathcal{W}^i$ extending proper lacunary wallings $\mathcal{W}^j$, for all $j < i$;
- ($C_i$) $\varphi_j(g) \neq 1$, for every $j \leqslant i$ and every $g \in B_j(1, \mathrm{Cay}(G_j, S)) \setminus \{1\}$;
- ($D_i$) $\varphi^j_l \circ q^l_k = \varphi^j_k$, for all $j \leqslant k \leqslant l \leqslant i$ (that is, the part of the above diagram with all indexes at most $i$ is commutative).

Note that the condition ($D_1$) is satisfied trivially.

Let $H_i$ be a subgroup of $G_i$ generated by (the images by $F(S) \twoheadrightarrow G_i$ of) all the words read along cycles in $(\Theta_{i+1}, l_{i+1})$. The subgroup $K_i := \bigcap_{j \leqslant i} \ker(\varphi^j_i) \lhd G_i$ is of finite index. Therefore $H_i \cap K_i < H_i$ is of finite index and we can find a finite normal cover $\overline{\Theta}_{i+1}$ of $\Theta_{i+1}$ such that the normal closure in $G_i$ of the subgroup generated by words read along $(\overline{\Theta}_{i+1}, \overline{l}_{i+1})$ is contained in $K_i$, where $\overline{l}_{i+1}$ is the labelling of $\overline{\Theta}_{i+1}$ induced by $l_{i+1}$ via the covering map. Labelled paths of length greater or equal $\lambda\mathrm{girth}(\overline{\Theta}_{i+1})$ in $(\overline{\Theta}_{i+1}, \overline{l}_{i+1})$ do not appear in $(\widehat{\Theta}_j, \widehat{l}_j)$ for $j \leqslant i$, neither in $(\Theta_j, l_j)$ for $j \geqslant i+2$. Any two such paths in $(\overline{\Theta}_{i+1}, \overline{l}_{i+1})$ differ by a covering automorphism. Therefore, $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), \dots, (\widehat{\Theta}_i, \widehat{l}_i), (\overline{\Theta}_{i+1}, \overline{l}_{i+1}), (\Theta_{i+2}, l_{i+2}), (\Theta_{i+3}, l_{i+3}), \dots$ is a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling. By Lemma 2.3 there exists a finite normal cover $\widehat{\Theta}_{i+1}$ of $\overline{\Theta}_{i+1}$ with the following properties:

- ($A_{i+1}$) $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), \dots, (\widehat{\Theta}_i, \widehat{l}_i), (\widehat{\Theta}_{i+1}, \widehat{l}_{i+1}), (\Theta_{i+2}, l_{i+2}), (\Theta_{i+3}, l_{i+3}), \dots$ is a $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation labelling;
- ($B_{i+1}$) $(\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), \dots, (\widehat{\Theta}_i, \widehat{l}_i), (\widehat{\Theta}_{i+1}, \widehat{l}_{i+1})$ admits a proper lacunary walling $\mathcal{W}^{i+1}$ extending $\mathcal{W}^i$;

where $q_i \colon G_i \twoheadrightarrow G_{i+1} := \langle S \mid (\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{l}_1), \dots, (\widehat{\Theta}_{i+1}, \widehat{l}_{i+1}) \rangle$ is the quotient map. Observe that we have $\ker(q_i) < K_i$.

The group $G_{i+1} = \langle S \mid \widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{\Theta}_2, \dots, \widehat{\Theta}_{i+1} \rangle$ is hyperbolic and, by Lemma 2.2, acts geometrically on a CAT(0) cubical complex. Hence, by results of Wise [Wis11] and Agol [Ago13], it is residually finite. Therefore, we find a map $\varphi_{i+1} \colon G_{i+1} \to F_{i+1}$ into a finite group $F_{i+1}$ such that $\varphi_{i+1}(g) \neq 1$ for all $g \in B_{i+1}(1, \mathrm{Cay}(G_{i+1}, S))$. Hence, by ($C_i$), we have

($C_{i+1}$) $\varphi_j(g) \neq 1$, for every $j \leqslant i+1$ and every $g \in B_j(1, \mathrm{Cay}(G_j, S)) \setminus \{1\}$.

For $j \leqslant i$ we define $\varphi^j_{i+1} \colon G_{i+1} \to F_j$ as $\varphi^j_{i+1}(q^{i+1}_j(g)) = \varphi_j(g)$. This is a well defined homomorphism: If $q^{i+1}_j(g) = q^{i+1}_j(g')$ then $q^i_j(gg'^{-1}) \in \ker(q_i)$,

and hence

$$\varphi^j_{i+1}(q^{i+1}_j(g))(\varphi^j_{i+1}(q^{i+1}_j(g')))^{-1} = \varphi_j(g)\varphi_j(g')^{-1} = \\ = \varphi_j(gg'^{-1}) = \varphi^j_i(q^i_j(gg'^{-1})) = 1,$$

by $\ker(q_i) < K_i$. By $(D_i)$ and the definition of $\varphi^j_{i+1}$ we have:

$(D_{i+1})$ $\varphi^j_l \circ q^l_k = \varphi^j_k$, for all $j \leqslant k \leqslant l \leqslant i+1$ (that is, the part of the above diagram with all indexes at most $i+1$ is commutative).

This finishes the inductive step.

3.3. **Proof of Main Theorem.** The presentation $\langle S \mid \widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{\Theta}_2, \ldots\rangle$ is a graphical $C'(\lambda)$–small cancellation presentation, by $(A)$. Thus, the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ contains isometrically embedded copies of all the graphs $\widehat{\Theta}_i$, by Lemma 2.1. That is, $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ contains a sequence of $D$–regular graphs of growing girth, and hence $G$ is non-exact, by [Wil11].

We show now that $G$ is residually finite. Take a non trivial element $g \in G$. Let $i$ be such an integer that $g \in B_i(1, \mathrm{Cay}(G,S))$. Then there exists $g' \in G_i$ such that $q^\infty_i(g') = g$, and $g' \in B_i(1, \mathrm{Cay}(G_i, S))$. For the homomorphism $\varphi^i_\infty \colon G \to F_i$ into the finite group $F_i$ we have $\varphi^i_\infty(g) = \varphi^i_\infty \circ q^\infty_i(g') = \varphi_i(g') \neq 1$, by $(D)$ and $(C)$. This shows that $G$ is residually finite.

## References


[Ago13] Ian Agol, *The virtual Haken conjecture*, Doc. Math. **18** (2013), 1045–1087. With an appendix by Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Manning. MR3104553

[BO08] Nathanial P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa, *$C^*$-algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. MR2391387

[Gro03] Misha Gromov, *Random walk in random groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 1, 73–146. MR1978492

[Osa14] Damian Osajda, *Small cancellation labellings of some infinite graphs and applications*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.5015 (2014).

[Pri89] Stephen J. Pride, *Some problems in combinatorial group theory*, Groups—Korea 1988 (Pusan, 1988), 1989, pp. 146–155. MR1032822

[Roe03] John Roe, *Lectures on coarse geometry*, University Lecture Series, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR2007488

[Wil11] Rufus Willett, *Property A and graphs with large girth*, J. Topol. Anal. **3** (2011), no. 3, 377–384. MR2831267

[Wis11] Daniel T. Wise, *The structure of groups with quasiconvex hierarchy* (2011).



Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50–384 Wrocław, Poland

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

Dept. of Math. & Stats., McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 0B9

*E-mail address*: dosaj@math.uni.wroc.pl